



Landscape Industries Association of WA

LANDSCAPE INDUSTRIES AWARDS 2025



Judging Criteria

Criteria	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Needs improvement (2)	Unsatisfactory (1)
Design Excellence (Creativity, functionality, and overall look)	Beautiful, innovative and perfectly designed for the space.	Well-designed with good creativity and flow.	Looks good but could be more creative or better planned.	Basic design, lacks impact or clear planning.	Poorly designed, unappealing, or doesn't suit the space.
Sustainability (Eco-friendly practices, water use, and environmental impact)	Uses sustainable materials, saves water, and supports the environment.	Mostly eco-friendly with some sustainable choices.	Some effort to be sustainable but could do more.	Little focus on sustainability or efficiency.	No sustainability efforts, environmentally harmful.
Quality of Workmanship (How well the landscape is built and finished)	Impeccable craftsmanship, high-quality work, and excellent detail.	Well-built with minor imperfections.	Solid construction but some details could be improved.	Work is uneven or lacks precision.	Poorly built, sloppy work, or unfinished.
Plant Selection & Health (Choice of plants and how well they are maintained)	Perfect plant choices, healthy and thriving.	Good plant selection, well-maintained.	Plants are decent but some may not suit the area.	Poor plant selection or struggling plants.	Plants are unhealthy, dying, or completely unsuitable.
Maintenance & Longevity (Durability and ease of upkeep over time)	Built to last with minimal maintenance needed.	Well-made and should hold up well over time.	Will need some maintenance but should last.	High maintenance required or may not last long.	Poorly planned, will not stand the test of time.
Value to Client & Community (Does it benefit the client and surrounding area?)	Exceeds expectations and adds great value to the area.	Very beneficial and improves the space significantly.	Adds some value but not outstanding.	Limited impact or value to the client/community.	No real benefit or even a negative impact.
Professionalism (How well the project was managed and executed)	Extremely well-managed, smooth execution, and professional.	Well-handled with few minor issues.	Generally well done but had some noticeable flaws.	Disorganized or had significant management issues.	Poorly managed, problems throughout.
Comments					
Sub total					